

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/006,635	11/08/2001	Sam S. Vacek	VAC-1001-US	1869		
24923 75	590 07/31/2003					
PAUL S MADAN			EXAMINER			
2603 AUGUST	-		VARNER, STEVE M			
HOUSTON, T	X //05/-1130		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3635			
	•		DATE MAILED: 07/31/2003	DATE MAILED: 07/31/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

j d					AAT			
		Application No.		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/006,635		VACEK, SAM S.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Steve M Varner		3635				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHO THE M - Exten after 3 - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, apply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, howe within the statutory min will apply and will expire s cause the application to	ver, may a reply be tim mum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from t become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timel he mailing date of this c 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)🖾	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 M	<i>May 2003</i> .						
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	is action is non-fi	nal.					
3)	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
·	Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav	vn from considera	ation.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
· <u> </u>	Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 6-25</u> is/are rejected.							
·	Claim(s) <u>5</u> is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers								
9) 🗌 7	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.						
10) 🔲 🏻	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep	oted or b) objecte	ed to by the Exan	niner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been rece	ived.					
	Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been rece	ived in Application	on No				
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)								

Application/Control Number: 10/006,635

Art Unit: 3635

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States

Claims 1-4, 6, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Shingaki.

Regarding claim 1, Shingaki shows an internal wall section (22) and an external wall section (51) displaced a predetermined distance from and juxtaposed with the internal wall section (22). Shingaki shows an airflow passage (42) between the internal wall section (22) and the external wall section (51) and an air circulation system (331). (Fig. 1)

Regarding claim 2, Shingaki shows the air provided is conditioned. (Fig. 1)

Regarding claim 3, Shingaki shows an attic (44) that is in air communication with the airflow passage. (Fig. 1)

Regarding claim 4, Shingaki shows roofs (52) coupled to the external wall section (51). (Fig. 1)

Regarding claim 6, Shingaki shows the air circulation system is placed inside the structure. (Fig. 1)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Application/Control Number: 10/006,635

Art Unit: 3635

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 7-13, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shingaki in view of Ojala.

Regarding claims 7-13, Shingaki shows the basic claimed structure. Shingaki shows a plurality of outer walls and a roof to form an enclosed structure. Shingaki does not show the external wall section including an insulating layer, a weather-resistant layer outside of the insulating layer, a sheath inside the insulating layer, the internal section including a liquid barrier, a wall framing system, a first sheathing between the liquid barrier and the wall framing system, and a second sheathing inside of the wall framing system.

Ojala shows the external wall section (88) including an insulating layer (94) and a weather-resistant layer outside of the insulating layer (88). Ojala shows a sheath (70) inside the insulating layer (88). Ojala shows the internal section (60) including a liquid barrier (92), and a wall framing system (60), A first sheathing between the liquid barrier and the wall framing system, and a second sheathing inside of the wall framing system are well known in the art. (Fig. 3, 4)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the present invention was made to use the wall system as in Ojala and known in the art in the structure of Shingaki. The wall system of Ojala and known in the art is typical

Application/Control Number: 10/006,635

Art Unit: 3635

domestic architecture and the wall through which the airflow passage goes in Shingaki is also typical domestic architecture.

Claims 14-25. are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shingaki in view of Tedman et al.

Regarding claims 14-19, Shingaki shows the basic claimed structure. Shingaki does not show a moisture sensor in the airflow passage with a controller and a circuit to interface with the sensor, and a processor. Tedman et al. shows a moisture sensor in the airflow passage with a controller (Abstract). Interfacing circuits and a processor are well known in the art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the present invention was made to use the system of Tedman to control the humidity in the air flow passage in Shingaki. This would expedite drying.

Regarding claims 20-25, the claimed methods are the obvious method for inhibiting moisture accumulation using Shingaki's modified air cycle houses and house ventilation system.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Moras shows a composite vapor barrier panel. Tuggle shows a dryer vent connection.

Claim Objections

Claim 5 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 5 is allowed for the air circulation system creating a positive air pressure in the structure.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steve M Varner whose telephone number is 703 308-1894. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl D Friedman can be reached on 703 308-0839. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703 305-7687 for regular communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308-1113.

SV

July 25, 2003

Carl D. Friedman

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Group 3600